AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

1. (Currently amended) A malware detection system for determining whether a

code module is malware according to the code module's exhibited behaviors, the system

comprising:

at least one dynamic behavior evaluation module, wherein each dynamic behavior

evaluation module provides a virtual environment for executing a code module of a particular

type, and wherein each dynamic behavior evaluation module records some execution behaviors

of the code module as it is executed, wherein the execution behaviors of the code module are

recorded into a behavior signature corresponding to the code module;

a management module for obtaining the code module and selecting a dynamic behavior

evaluation module to execute the code module according to the code module's type;

a malware behavior signature store storing at least one known malware behavior

signature of a known malware; and

a behavior signature comparison module that obtains the behavior signature of the code

module and compares the behavior signature of the code module to the known malware behavior

signatures in the malware behavior signature store to determine whether the exhibited execution

behaviors of the code module match the exhibited execution behaviors of a known malware.

2. (Currently amended) A malware detection system for determining whether a

code module is malware according to the code module's exhibited behaviors, the system

comprising:

at least one behavior evaluation means, wherein each behavior evaluation means provides

a virtual environment for executing a code module of a particular type, and wherein each

behavior evaluation means records some execution behaviors of the code module as it is

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLLO 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800

Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101 206 682 8100

-2-

executed, wherein the execution behaviors of the code module are recorded into a behavior

signature corresponding to the code module;

a management means for obtaining the code module and selecting a behavior evaluation

means to execute the code module according to the code module's type;

a storage means for storing at least one known malware behavior signature of a known

malware; and

a behavior comparison means for comparing the behavior signature of the code module to

the known malware behavior signatures in the storage means to determine whether the exhibited

execution behaviors of the code module match the exhibited execution behaviors of a known

malware.

3. (Previously presented) A method for determining whether a code module is

malware according to the code module's exhibited behaviors, the method comprising:

selecting a dynamic behavior evaluation module according to the executable type of the

code module;

executing the code module in the selected dynamic behavior evaluation module, wherein

the selected dynamic behavior evaluation module provides a virtual environment in which the

code module may be safely executed;

recording some execution behaviors exhibited by the code module executing in the

dynamic behavior evaluation module during execution of the code module;

comparing the recorded execution behaviors exhibited by the code module executing in

the dynamic behavior evaluation module to known malware execution behaviors; and

according to the results of the previous comparison, determining whether the code

module is malware.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLLC 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800

Suite 2800 Scattle, Washington 98101 206.682 8100

-3-

4. (Previously presented) A computer-readable medium bearing

computer-executable instructions which, when executed, carry out a method for determining

whether an executable code module is malware according to the code module's exhibited

behaviors, the method comprising:

selecting a dynamic behavior evaluation module according to the executable type of the

code module;

executing the code module in the selected dynamic behavior evaluation module, wherein

the selected dynamic behavior evaluation module provides a virtual environment in which the

code module may be safely executed;

recording some execution behaviors exhibited by the code module executing in the

dynamic behavior evaluation module as the code module is executing;

comparing the recorded execution behaviors exhibited by the code module executing in

the dynamic behavior evaluation module to known malware execution behaviors; and

according to the results of the previous comparison, determining whether the code

module is malware.

5. (Previously presented) The malware detection system of Claim 1, wherein

recording some execution behaviors of the code module as it is executed comprises recording

executed behaviors that are identified in a predefined set of execution behaviors to record.

6. (Previously presented) The malware detection system of Claim 5, wherein the

predefined set of execution behaviors to record corresponds to the dynamic behavior evaluation

module in which a code module of a particular type may be executed.

7. (Previously presented) The malware detection system of Claim 6, wherein the

predefined set of execution behaviors to record corresponds to a set of system calls.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPALE 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800

Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100

-4-

8. (Previously presented) The malware detection system of Claim 2, wherein

recording some execution behaviors of the code module as it is executed comprises recording

executed behaviors that are identified in a predefined set of execution behaviors to record.

9. (Previously presented) The malware detection system of Claim 8, wherein the

predefined set of execution behaviors to record corresponds to the dynamic behavior evaluation

module in which a code module of a particular type may be executed.

10. (Previously presented) The malware detection system of Claim 9, wherein the

predefined set of execution behaviors to record corresponds to a set of system calls.

11. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 3, wherein recording some

execution behaviors exhibited by the code module executing in the dynamic behavior evaluation

module comprises recording executed behaviors that are identified in a predefined set of

execution behaviors to record.

12. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 11, wherein the predefined set of

execution behaviors to record corresponds to the dynamic behavior evaluation module in which a

code module of a particular type may be executed.

13. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 12, wherein the predefined set of

execution behaviors to record corresponds to a set of system calls.

14. (Previously presented) The computer-readable medium of Claim 4, wherein

recording some execution behaviors exhibited by the code module executing in the dynamic

behavior evaluation module comprises recording executed behaviors that are identified in a

-5-

predefined set of execution behaviors to record.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLEC 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800

Suite 2800 tle. Washington 98

Seattle, Washington 98101 206 682.8100

- 15. (Previously presented) The computer-readable medium of Claim 14, wherein the predefined set of execution behaviors to record corresponds to the dynamic behavior evaluation module in which a code module of a particular type may be executed.
- 16. (Previously presented) The computer-readable medium of Claim 14, wherein the predefined set of execution behaviors to record corresponds to a set of system calls.